

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,924	10/719,924 11/21/2003		Marc M. Baum	51472/DRK/P758	3349	
36067	7590	10/02/2006		EXAMINER		
DALINA L 7910 IVANI		•	KURTZ, BENJAMIN M			
LA JOLLA,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1723		

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

34

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					l~		
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/719,924		BAUM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Benjamin Ku	ırtz	1723			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss		
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event will apply and will e c, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION , however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this common D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🖂 🛭	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Au</u>	<u>ugust 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ ¯	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is nor	n-final.				
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowar	-			erits is		
(closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qua	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1,3-22 and 24 is/are pending in the apotal Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-22 and 24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from cons					
Application	on Papers						
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ire: a)⊠ acc drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di Di Notice of Informal F Di Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/719,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. [1] as follows: The Oath/Declaration does not contain a reference to the provisional application 60/428,653 from which priority is claimed.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: There appears to be a spelling error in the new addition to claim 14. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-13, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over O'Leary et al. US 4 659 459. Regarding claims 1-7, O'Leary (459) discloses a water disinfection system (20)

Art Unit: 1723

(fig. 1, col. 6, lines 29-34) that can be used in any water treatment or conditioning system (col. 3, lines 18-21), including a storm water management infrastructure, comprising: a disinfection chemical dispenser (A, B, C) (fig. 1, col. 7, line 65 – col. 8 line 11), and a control unit (64, 50, 62) that controls an amount of disinfection chemical added to the water (fig. 1, col. 6, lines 29-42). A sensor (118) that measures the water characteristics is located in the mixing chamber (90) (fig. 1, col. 8, lines 15-19), another sensor (94) is located upstream of the dispenser (A, B, C) (fig. 1, col. 7, lines 22-23), and another sensor (134) is located downstream of the mixing chamber (90) (fig. 1, col. 8, lines 31-34). The control unit (64, 50, 62) incorporates a feedback protocol that incorporates an array of physical and chemical parameters for disinfecting the water (fig. 1, col. 6, lines 29-42). It is inherent that the disclosed system comprises a power source for the controller, computer, electric valves, etc.

Regarding claims 8-10, O'Leary (459) discloses a communication unit that permits communication between the system (20) and a distant management station through a wired communication unit (modem) where the communication unit provides remote control of the system (20) (col. 6, lines 51-57).

Regarding claims 11-12, O'Leary (459) discloses the system (20) comprises a flow meter (88) and a Ph sensor (94) (fig. 1, col. 7, lines 22-31).

Regarding claims 13, 34 and 47, O'Leary (459) discloses the dispensers (A, B, C) comprise: a chemical storage container (110, 112, 114), a valve (104, 106, 108), and a probe (98, 100, 102) that injects the chemical in to the chamber (90).

Art Unit: 1723

Regarding claims 22 and 24, O'Leary (459) discloses a bypass system comprising a baffle (76) that controls flow of water through the system (20) (fig. 1, col. 6, lines 63-67), and a filtering system (52) that captures sediments prior to the treatment with chemical (fig. 1, col. 8, lines 44-47).

- 4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary (459) in view of Applegate et al. Patent No. 4,280,913. O'Leary (459) discloses a water disinfection system with a control system that can be used in any water treatment or conditioning system (col. 3, lines 18-21) but does not disclose that system being used at a storm drain collection location. Applegate (913) teaches a water purification process that is located in-line at a storm drain (col. 3, line 65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the system as taught by O'Leary (459) in the place of the process as taught by Applegate (913). O'Leary discloses the system can be used in any water treatment system to purify a stream of water so it would have been obvious to use that system to purify the storm water flow as taught by Applegate (913).
- 5. Claims 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary (459) in view of Koubek Patent No. 4,012,321. O'Leary (459) discloses the system (20) but does not disclose what chemicals are stored in the tanks (110, 112, 114) or a UV source. Koubek (321) teaches a water disinfection method including using a disinfecting peroxide solution and a UV radiation source (28) illuminating the mixture stream downstream of the mixing chamber (23) (fig. 1, col. 5, lines 29-35). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Application/Control Number: 10/719,924

Art Unit: 1723

made to modify the system of O'Leary (459) with the teachings of Koubek (321). The irradiation and OH kill all of the bacteria, virus, and germs carried by the waste stream (col. 5, lines 38-40).

Page 5

- 6. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary (459) in view of Iverson et al. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0014463. O'Leary (459) discloses the claimed invention but does not disclose the chemical dispenser storing precursor chemicals or the disinfecting chemical being chlorine dioxide. Iverson (463) teaches a method for cleaning a water distribution system comprising: chemical storage containers (26, 28) storing precursor chemicals (paragraph [0012]), and the disinfecting chemical is chlorine dioxide (paragraph [0002]) generated in the system prior to use. It would have been obvious to modify the system as taught by O'Leary (459) with the teachings of Iverson (463). Mixing the two precursors together inhibits and/or removes bacterial fouling and/or eliminates microorganisms (paragraph [0002]).
- 7. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary (459) in view of Koubek (321) and further in view of Cox Patent No. 5,700,377. O'Leary (459) in view of Koubek (321) disclose the claimed system utilizing a chemical additive to disinfect a water stream with a UV radiation source downstream of the mixing of the chemical and the water but does not teach that the disinfecting chemical comprises a solution of a persulfate salt. Cox (377) teaches a chemical composition containing a persulfate salt and ammonium chloride for the treatment of water (col. 3, lines 20-24). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the persulfate as taught by Cox (377).

Art Unit: 1723

The potassium persulfate and the ammonium chloride are effective in disinfecting water to be purified and in eradicating algae and bacteria (col. 5, lines 43-46).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2-13, 22 and 24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

O'Leary teaches a system for treating water having a disinfecting chemical dispenser, that is configured to add disinfection chemical into water flowing through the system, having a sensor configured to measure the water characteristics attributable to water flowing through the system and having a control unit that controls an amount of disinfection chemical added to the water. O'Leary teaches the system may be used in any water treatment or conditioning system which would include treating water flowing through a storm water management infrastructure thereby anticipating or in the alternative rendering obvious claim 1.

Regarding claim 21 O'Leary teaches the measurement of chemicals is based on the characteristics (i.e. conductivity) of the water (col. 10, lines 46-61).

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,924 Page 7

Art Unit: 1723

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Kurtz whose telephone number is 571-272-8211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,924 Page 8

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bk 9/20/2006

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700